

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LINDA PEDRAZA,

No C 05-4977 VRW

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v

ALAMEDA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,
et al,

Defendants.

_____ /

On January 28, 2009, defendants moved to dismiss plaintiff's complaint or alternatively for judgment on the pleadings. Docs ##163, 165-167. Plaintiff did not respond to these motions, as she had already filed a notice of appeal. Doc #156. On March 3, 2009, the court of appeals found that it lacked jurisdiction over plaintiff's interlocutory appeal. Doc #180. Accordingly, plaintiff must now submit her response to defendants' motions.

1 Plaintiff is ORDERED to respond to defendants' motions,
2 Docs ##163, 165-167, within fourteen days from the date of this
3 order. The hearing currently scheduled for March 19, 2009 is
4 VACATED. The court will reschedule the hearing as necessary once
5 plaintiff submits her response.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8
9 

10 VAUGHN R WALKER
11 United States District Chief Judge